

~~MS A2~~ The

15

A

A

35

accordance with the interval points, associated timing indications and dynamic traffic condition informations.

To improve the user interface, such navigation system is preferably characterized by said overall notification system
 5 putting forward one or more proposals for acceptance or rejection by a user.

Further user interface improvements are obtained in preferred embodiments of the invention, characterized by the overall notification system in said putting forward prioritising
 10 a proposal that maintains an earlier and actually effective traveling schedule and/or characterized in that a failure to maintain said effective schedule triggers an overall replanning of the route instead of a partial adaptation.

15 These and further aspects and advantages of the invention will be discussed more in detail hereinafter with reference to the disclosure of preferred embodiments, and in particular with reference to the appended Figures that show:

20 the invention;

Figure 1 shows an overall diagram of a system according to the invention;

Figure 2 is an input example representing a user's requirements;

Figure 3 is a first result output presented by the system;

25 Figure 4 is a second result output after a planning change caused by a traffic accident;

Figure 5 demonstrates a few further dynamic effects;

Figure 6 is an applicable flow chart.

Amongst other things, it is an object of the present
 30 invention to support the user in planning a journey and to update the planning in a dynamic manner, as depending on actual traffic conditions. A prerequisite for using the system is the availability of a navigation system that includes the supporting of the travel planning, as recited in copending application EP
 35 Appl. No 99204046.9.

The companion invention provides for inputting spatial targets as well as temporal targets for generating interval points in a dynamic manner. The present invention furthermore

A ^{allows} ~~provides to~~ allow receiving information regarding traffic
 5 conditions, and in particular traffic problems, for on the basis thereon, informing the user on the problems themselves, as well as on possible detours and other changes in the route planning.

A After the user has ^{input} ~~inputted~~ all requirements for the
 10 travel, the system will calculate an optimum sequence for going to the various destinations. The sequence may at any instant be amended by the user. Now in particular, the setting of the route should take into account actual traffic conditions. Because these may in general undergo continual changes, the route planning is being adapted in a dynamic manner. To keep this
 15 adapting transparent to the user person, the system will notify the user of such necessary or useful changes that will have occurred on the ground of the actual traffic conditions. The user may ultimately decide on the changing or maintaining of the route.

A 20 The user will not only get an advice on a detour preferred by the system, but will also get the possibility to adapt the overall planning of the route. The changes may pertain to the temporal sequence or on the spatial configuration of certain destinations. Various examples are as follows:

25 • A particular traffic problem may negatively influence the expected duration of travel. In such case, various times, such as time of departure and time of stay may have to be corrected, if destination, time of arrival, and activity have been specified. An "activity" may for example be a legally prescribed
 30 rest interval, or a meal.

• A particular traffic problem may render a different sequence in time and space among the destinations preferable over the original one.

• When a particular activity, such as lunch, had been used to
therefrom derive a destination location, then the occurring
traffic problem may lead to assign this activity to a different
destination. Also the instant assigned to this activity may be
5 amended, such as through having lunch earlier, or through
waiting for the adverse condition to be solved.

The above examples pertain to the dynamic beginning of a
traffic problem. It is likewise possible that a particular
traffic problem will be solved in the course of time, or even
10 that its nature or location will be changed. For example, a
traffic jam due to the travel of an exceptional vehicle will
probably move together with that particular vehicle. In such
situations, the facilities provided by the invention will remain
likewise applicable.

Now, the amendments generated by the system may be
15 considered by the user as mere proposals, that may be accepted
or rejected. In particular, to accommodate to the user's own
wishes regarding the continuation of the journey, the system may
indicate the grounds leading to the proposals for change. This
20 indication may be done in text, in speech, or through
representing the situation on a map of a useful scale and area.
The proposed amendments may be shown on the map and/or in
tabular form.

Figure 1 shows an overall diagram of a system according to
25 the invention, that by way of example has eleven subsystems, as
follows. Block 20 symbolizes a user person who wants to be
guided by the system. The user interfaces bidirectionally to the
system's I/O 22 that may have various hardware and software
facilities such as keyboard, mouse, speech, other audio, and
30 display of text and/or maps. Block 32 represents an
institutional data base that may store various entries, such as
representing hotels, restaurants or other facilities, together
with associated data such as location, business hours, and
actual services present at those facilities. Block 34 represents

a navigational data base that may store a road network, together with physical distances or travel time distances between representative points, road classification, and others. Block 36 represents a position system that detects an actual position of the vehicle, such as through a well known GPS system. Block 26 represents an event table, such as a road block or jam situation that has been communicated by a higher level authority such as as a **Radio Data System**, and which event may cause a certain destination to be no longer reachable, or only in a delayed manner, or which may necessitate the vehicle to take a detour.

Block 28 represents a destination table that contains the destinations and associated timing indications, such as entered by the user through block 22, and subject to information from the travel planning in block 24, the institutional data base in block 32, and the event table in block 26. Block 30 represents a navigational computer that is fed with the Destination Table from block 28, with the navigational data base from block 34, and with the position from block 36. From ^{this information} ~~these informations~~ it can figure out a route to be taken, which route may contain various interval points and timing indications associated ^{with} ~~to~~ the various interval points. Block 24 represents the travel planning that is fed by the information from the navigational computer 30, and which block 24 furthermore bidirectionally interfaces to the destination table in block 28, and to the user I/O in block 22. The travel planning will update the destination table if it fails to find a correct solution for attaining all interval points. It will signal to the user what route is to be taken, and it will signal the above failure to allow the user to modify the set of interval points and/or associated timing indications.

Block 40 represents the information of dynamic travel conditions that may be received in the same manner as the information of event table 26, such as through RDS/TMC or mobile radio, but that according to the present invention is used in a different and dynamic manner. The information is activated through an actual position received from subsystem 36, and

further through the planned travel information received from subsystem 30. The activated information is communicated to navigational computer subsystem 30 and to travel planning subsystem 24, for influencing in a dynamic manner the planning of the route to be taken, subject to a dialog with the user person. Map display subsystem 38 receives information from block 24 regarding what route has been planned, from block 36 regarding what the actual position of the vehicle is, and from navigational computer subsystem 30 information regarding what route(s) will be feasible as alternative; the latter information is also fed back as activating information to subsystem 40.

Figure 2 is an input example representing a user's requirements. As shown, the user wants to ^{visit} visits three firms x, y, z, each with its address provided, and also wants to have lunch at about 12 o'clock. Furthermore, the interval at firm x has its arrival time and departure time fully specified, the interval at firm y has its arrival time and its Stay length specified, and the interval at firm z has only its arrival time specified. Various items of the above may have been ^{input} inputted into the system by speech from the user person, either before the commencement of the actual travelling, or even during the actual travel.

Figure 3 is the result output presented by the system as meeting the user's requirements listed in Figure 2. First, the intervals at the three firms x, y and z have their arrival, departure and stay times fully specified as far as feasible. Furthermore, the system has sought for a suitable restaurant that would best meet the requirements of an arrival time near 12 o'clock, and a stay time that would be sufficient for lunch. Using the institutional data base 32 and navigational data base 34 of Figure 1, it has come up with restaurant q at an address that would give one hour's and ten minutes' time, which was considered long enough. Also, the associated departure time has been presented. In other cases, the system would signal that another sequence among the three firms to be visited would be

necessary. For example, it could be necessary to have lunch between the visits to firms y and z, respectively, if the available restaurant was more down the road, or the system could signal that the requirements could not be met. In such case, the user could indicate another sequence of the visits, or could even relinquish the requirement for a specified sequence, and leave it to be determined by the system itself. Still another case could occur, where the system would for example find that the three firms were too far from each other, given the various required Stay lengths, to be visited on a single day.

Figure 4 is the changed output presented by the system as the result of an accident or other traffic problem on the interval between firms x and y while still meeting the user's requirements. It has been found necessary or advisable to change to another lunch address, to advance to start of lunch, and to shorten the interval allowable for lunch. For better information, the changes are printed ^{in large text} ~~fat~~, and in practice may be shown in a conspicuous color or other format, such as blinking or enlarged, and possibly through supportive or explicative speech. The user may agree with the amendments or not. In the latter case, the user may, for example, amend the arrival time at firm y, advance the departure time at firm x, or take such other measures as considered fit for the particular purpose. In this particular situation, the time interval between lunch and the planned arrival at destination y is increased; this allows to take a detour if the traffic impediment will still exist after lunch.

Figure 5 demonstrates a few further dynamic effects of the present invention. For simplicity, only the lengths of the intervals are taken into account. If the route should call at all destinations A through F, the shortest path is sequentially along A, B, C, D, E, F. If there is a traffic block between B and C, the shortest route is sequentially along A, B, D, C, E, F. However, the user may find it be necessary to visit destination C before destination D. This will clearly

necessitate a longer route, which the user may input into the system. This may again influence the traveling schedule.

Figure 6 is an applicable flow chart of the operation of the route planning system according to the invention. An

5 important aspect of the embodiment is, that the system will search first for alternatives that have minimum influence on the actual travel planning. If it proves impossible to maintain the actual planning, the system will undertake to change the planning overall. The other approach, that is to only amend the
10 departure and/or arrival times, will generally only diminish the times of stay. while extending the time spent on the road. Such procedure might however bring the user in a stressed situation, wherein it is undertaken to make good for the time lost in the traffic, and might cause further delay or even accidents. In
15 contradistinction, the preferred embodiment of the invention undertakes to implement one or more of the following:

- optimize the sequence wherein the various destinations will be reached;
- to shift an activity so that the user would benefit by spending
20 time for other purposes instead of standing idle in an extended traffic jam;
- having an activity at such location that is not involved in the traffic problem at all.

Now in particular, in block 42 the system is started, the
25 necessary hardware and software facilities are assigned and the original route is planned. In block 44, the system receives the traffic notification in question. In block 46, the system detects whether the notification does influence the route planning, for example by checking the instant that the vehicle
30 will pass a particular location against the interval during which the obstruction or other adverse condition is known or expected to persist. If negative (no influence), the system goes back to the waiting loop of block 44. If positive, the system first undertakes in block 48 to plan a detour. Next, in block 50

the system checks whether along the detour the original timing can still be maintained. If yes, the system in block 52 proposes the detour to the user. If the detour is accepted by the user indeed in block 66 (Y), the original route in block 64 is
 5 replaced by the new route, and the procedure is terminated in block 60. In fact, the system could as well go again to the waiting loop of block 44.

If on the other hand, the user rejects the proposed route in block 66, the system checks in block 68 whether other
 10 possibilities are present. If no, the system goes to block 60, while signalling to the user a failure to find such other schedule. The latter may then still accept, or change the overall requirements or, for example, report to a superintendent authority that such failure has occurred. If in block 68 still
 15 further possibilities exist (Y), the system goes back to block 50.

If in block 50 the original schedule cannot be maintained (N), the system in block 54 undertakes to plan a new schedule. The user then may allow or reject this schedule in blocks 56,
 20 58, just like in blocks 66, 68, and eventually, either the planning is corrected in block 62, or the system exits to block 60 when no further possibilities are present.

During the actual travel, it remains possible to amend the schedule. This amending may be effected by the user person, in
 25 the form of speech, keystrokes or otherwise, and may pertain to the inserting or deleting of interval points, the amending of wanted stay times, and various other. Also, the guiding of the vehicle may be changed in a dynamic manner, such as under the influence of external events or situations, such as traffic jams
 30 or rainstorms, or rather the discontinuance of such events or adverse situations. Such aspects may be dynamically communicated to the vehicle by known systems such as **Radio Data System-TMC**, **MobileRadio**, and others. This arrival of new information may require the driver to maintain to some degree a continuing and

T01050-549600

dynamic dialog with the overall system. Such could require the driver to change plans, because the dynamic situation may have any type of influence on the planned route.

The person skilled in the art of route planning will
5 recognize further policies to be followed within the ambit of the present invention, the scope of which has justfully been determined by the appended claims hereinafter.

004050-050404